



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,555	09/815,555 03/22/2001		David B. Squires	X-857 US	6451
24309	7590	06/22/2004		EXAM	INER
XILINX,			HUYNH, KIM NGOC		
ATTN: LE 2100 LOG		ARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE		124	2182	9	
				DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/815,555	SQUIRES, DAVID B.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kim Huynh	2182
Period fo		tion appears on the cove	sheet with the correspondence address
A SH THE - External after - If the - Failure - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA raisons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days the same thirty	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however to the cation. ays, a reply within the statutory mire to period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	iver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>RCE filed 4/19/03</i> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-fi	nal.
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims		rmal matters, prosecution as to the ments is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-13 is/are pending	in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consider	ation.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-13 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election require	ment.
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object	= : :	
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed o	n is: a)□ approve	ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	red in reply to this Office ac	ion.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 3	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been rece	ived.
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been rece	ived in Application No
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internations the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
_a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	age provisional applicati	on has been received.
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:
I.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/19/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 5-6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akao (US 5,307,464).

Claims 1 and 6, Akao discloses (Figs. 1-2 and 8-10) a system having menu allowing user to select one of a plurality of configurable logic devices (see Fig. 10), an integrated circuit 1 (see Figs. 1-2) having a bus (7-8, 11) connecting a processor core 2 to a configurable peripheral device (3-5) having a configurable logic control block (col.

Art Unit: 2182

5, II. 8-12) capable of implementing a plurality of logic functions (col. 2, II. 10-17, 47-67 and col. 3, I. 59 through col. 4, I. 9).

Claim 4, Akao discloses the peripheral and bus are implemented on a FPGA (see Figs. 15-17 and 20).

Claims 2, 5, and 10, Akao discloses the configurable peripheral devices (peripheral functions) are versatile and can be of counter, timer, serial communication (UART), ROM, RAM (flash memory controller) (col. 1, I. 30-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Akao in view of applicant's admission (paragraphs 15-16).

Akao discloses the claimed invention of claims 1 and 6 above; Akao does not explicitly discloses user selectable options (baud rate, width size, and error correction selector). However, the baud rate, width size, and error correction codes are property of a particular peripheral device to enhance its transmission operation.

Akao discloses a flexible and versatile system allowing the users to easily set and modify the peripheral functions in the his own way via programmable logic control (background and summary of the invention). Applicant admitted that the choice of

Art Unit: 2182

user selectable options are various and can be tailored to meet the needs of the user; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Akao for the user to select options that is related to the peripheral being connected to the system based on the user's need and the operational specification of the peripheral device as intended Akao (col. 1, II. 7-17).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendment.

As for the argument that the ROM and RAM of Akao are hardwired and therefore not configurable logic blocks. The examiner disagrees, please note the ROM, RAM, and the address register are gate arrays which are field programmable; therefore by definition, these elements are FPGA. It is unclear what applicant means by the ROM and RAM of Akao are "hardwired" Furthermore, the

As for the argument that the peripheral devices of Akao are not FPGA, please note the ROM, RAM, and the address register are gate arrays which are programmable and therefore by definition, are FPGA. It is unclear what applicant means by the ROM and RAM of Akao are "hardwired" and therefore not configurable logic blocks. It is also unclear how these programmable devices of Akao distinguish from the configurable logic blocks of the claimed invention.

Please note the recitation of the configurable logic control block is not limiting, the limitation is broad and any type of control logic would read on this limitation.

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kim Huynh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2182

KH 6/18/04